## LOOKING <br> BAL

2018-2019
INDUSTRY TRENDS AND INSIGHTS


KN

$G$$A H E A D$

W=
we're entering the ninth publication of our annual Industry Trends And Insights book with an enthusiastic outlook on the automotive category. The industry is rife with change, and trends that we've been anticipating are starting to come to fruition. We're officially in a transformative age of a rapidly changing car-buying process-from digital retailing to subscription services, technology and fuel offerings that range from various hybrids to electric, and autonomous features that promise a safer future -and it's a thrill to be part of this evolution.

At the same time, we find ourselves at the advent of a new era at Jumpstart. As a division of Hearst Autos, we are fortunate to have the ability to reach consumers along their entire shopping journey—near-market, in-market, or at the retail stage. Our rich audience data provides us insight into all segments of the car-buying population, and more. The connection to Hearst Magazines allows us to understand, inspire, and influence consumers even before they reach the automotive shopping process.

The mission has been to guide automotive shoppers from inspiration to purchase. We're now at the brink of integrating our offerings and aligning resources to truly deliver this promise to the market. With greater horsepower than ever before, we look forward to sharing more with our partners and the industry later this year.


Matt Sanchez
CEO



The Jumpstart U.S. Audience

How U.S. shoppers reach our portfolio, the devices they're using, and the competitive landscape


An in-depth analysis of what is feeding the growth in alternative fuels across Canada

## 12 <br> U.S. Automotive Shopping

Top brands, body styles, and models defined by Jumpstart in-market shopping metrics


Canadian Regional Shopping Trends
A geographical review of vehicle segment and brand affinities across all provinces and territories


An in-depth analysis of what is feeding the growth in alternative fuels


Case Studies, Perspectives, And Predictions

From digital retailing and new products coming to market, to ad product case studies and market research—our outlook on the year

| U.S. Regional Shopping Trends | The Jumpstart Canadian Audience | Canadian Automotive Shopping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A geographical review of vehicle segment and brand affinities across the country | How Canadian shoppers reach our portfolio, the devices they're using, and the competitive landscape | Top brands, body styles, and models defined by Jumpstart in-market shopping metrics |

## STATE OF INDUSTRY

## 2018 RESULTS AND EXPECTATIONS FOR THE AUTO INDUSTRY IN 2019

NEW CAR SALES ${ }^{1}$



After four consecutive years of sales above 17 million, 2019 projections show sales dipping into the range of 16.8 - 17.0 million units. Canada already saw a slight decrease in 2018, bringing sales just below the 2 million mark and are expected to drop another 3\% in 2019.


While the sales mix continues to shift towards trucks and SUVs, cars are expected to account for at least $25 \%$ of the market for the foreseeable future. In 2019 more than 60\% of launches or redesigns are expected to be a truck or SUV, further propelling sales of larger vehicles.

## USED \& CPO SALES³



## AVERAGE PURCHASE PRICE ${ }^{4}$

NEW PRICE
U.S.

C.A.

(Forecast)


The used car market continues to grow as more supply hits the market from aging and off-lease vehicles. In the U.S., estimates of off-lease vehicles range between 3.7 and 4.1 million vehicles, and the forecast for Canada through 2021 is approximately 400,000 lease returns per year.


Vehicle prices continue to rise as consumers opt for larger and more luxurious vehicles. J.D. Power reported the average transaction price of a new vehicle was $\$ 33.5 \mathrm{k}$ in 2017, but rose to $\$ 34.1 \mathrm{k}$ in December, 2018. In Canada, prices are expected to grow from $3-7 \%$ in 2019, and reach up to \$36k on average.


JUMPSTART IS:
Car and Driver, Road \& Track, U.S. News Best Cars,
J.D. Power Cars, NADAguides, Autoweek.com, Autobytel, Autolist.com, LeftLaneNews.com, CarBuzz, CarStory, iSeeCars, and VehicleHistory.com

## IS THE AUTO CATEGORY IDLING?

## TOTAL UNIQUE VISITORS

OCTOBER - DECEMBER 2018 VS. 2017
SUTOMOTIVE

[^0]
## AHEAD OF THE CURVE

## INTEREST RANKING - UNIQUE VISITORS

OCTOBER - DECEMBER 2018


Jumpstart ranks 7 st or 2 nd among top vehicle categories that consumers are shopping and researching for their next vehicle purchase. Top competitors are consistently auto listings or valuation sites.

LOW DUPLICATION AMONG JUMPSTART \& KEY COMPETITORS

|  | SHARED AUDIENCE <br> W/JUMPSTART | \% SHARED <br> AUDIENCE | JUMPSTART'S <br> UNIQUE AUDIENCE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CarGurus.com | 6.7 M | $28 \%$ | 13.6 M |
| Autotrader.com | 5.2 M | $37 \%$ | 15.2 M |
| KBB.com | 4.2 M | $35 \%$ | 16.1 M |
| Cars.com | 3.9 M | $38 \%$ | 16.4 M |
| Edmunds | 2.9 M | $34 \%$ | 17.4 M |

## JUMPSTART’S AUDIENCE PROFILE

AGE


25-54
YEARS


The median age of mobile visitors (49) is nearly three years younger than desktop visitors (52) and seven years younger than tablet visitors (56). Despite younger smartphone audiences, the median age for mobile grew by three years ( 46 to 49 ) from 2017 to 2018.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME

\$75K+
HOUSEHOLD
INCOME

\$100K+
HOUSEHOLD
income

Tablet visitors have the highest median HHI of $\$ 98.7 \mathrm{k}$, while the median income for mobile visitors is more than $\$ 20 \mathrm{k}$ less ( $\$ 77.9 \mathrm{k}$ )-likely a correlation with age of users by device.

## GENDER

$64 \% 0^{7}$


Jumpstart's audience composition of men grew 4\% compared to the same period last year, reaching 64\%. Despite a smaller female audience, Jumpstart reaches
more women than the competitive set ( $25 \%$ ) with an average composition of $36 \%$.

# HOW SHOPPERS ARE REACHING OUR PORTFOLIO 

NEW AND RETURNING VISITORS
$570 \%_{\text {new }} \quad 43 \% \%_{\text {returning }}$

## TRAFFIC SOURCES

## Search

Landing on Jumpstart pages through natural (organic) search
(non-sponsored or non-paid)

## Direct

Typing the exact URL for one
of our sites into the browser


## Referral

Coming to Jumpstart from
another site

## Paid Search

Landing on Jumpstart pages
through a sponsored search link


## Display and Other

Entering one of Jumpstart's
sites most commonly from a
campaign-tagged URL

Discovering Jumpstart through social platforms
 <br> \section*{Social} <br> \section*{Social}


## U.S.



With insight into the shopping behaviors of more than 20 million unique monthly visitors, Jumpstart's automotive shopping metrics identify trends in interest and are relied upon as indicators of intent.

# METHODOLOGY <br> IN 2018, WE REVAMPED OUR DATA PLATFORM <br> TO BETTER PINPOINT ACTIVE SHOPPERS 



Our PRIOR methods relied upon CONTENT
to identify user intent


Our NEW methodology utilizes user BEHAVIOR to identify active shoppers

These core metrics are then used to analyze ACTIVE SHOPPERS and provide an assessment of YOUR brand health.


+ Based On Monthly Unique Visitor Data, This Metric Shows The Percentage Of:

Visitors who looked at a particular model relative to a defined population


Visitors who viewed
ONLY one model

Shoppers who viewed a competitive model AFTER viewing your model FIRST

+In Other Words, These Metrics Measure:
|NTEREST LEVELS
CROSS-SHOPPING PROPENSITY

Because the data is collected at a model level there is flexibility to aggregate and calculate by the following:

$v=$
NEWVS. USED

LUXURY VS. NON-LUXURY

BRAND
ORIGIN

BODY
STYLE

FUEL
TYPE

Shoppers who viewed a com-
petitive model FIRST then
visited your model AFTER


## $T \bigcap P 10 \frac{B R A N D S}{B a s e d ~ O n ~ S h a r e ~ O f ~ S a l e s ~ G r o w t h ~ R a t e ~}$




## BODY STYLES

## SHARE OF INTEREST

BODY STYLE

-3\%
$+1 \%$
suv/cuv

36.9\%


Compact

$14.10 / 0$


Truck

12.9\%


Sedan

$11.90 / 0$


Sport


Performance

$0.10 \%$

$3.00 / 0$

$2.60 \%$


Coupe

$2.00 / 0$
-35\%


## SHARE OF INTEREST BY BRAND

NON-LUXURY \$

| BRAND | SHARE OF BRAND INTEREST |  | COMPETITIVE BRAND |  | OPPORTUNITY | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | \% CHANGE | THREAT | \% |  |  |
| Ford | 16.5\% | 13\% | Chevrolet | 31\% | RAM | 39\% |
| Chevrolet | 14.4\% | 2\% | Ford | 32\% | GMC | 47\% |
| Toyota | 11.0\% | -4\% | Honda | 32\% | Honda | 32\% |
| Honda | 9.9\% | -8\% | Toyota | 32\% | Toyota | 32\% |
| Jeep | 6.0\% | 2\% | Ford | 27\% | Dodge | 12\% |
| Nissan | 5.8\% | -5\% | Toyota | 26\% | Mitsubishi | 18\% |
| Dodge | 4.6\% | -15\% | Chevrolet | 28\% | Chrysler | 20\% |
| Subaru | 4.5\% | 7\% | Honda | 29\% | Mazda | 13\% |
| Volkswagen | 4.0\% | -5\% | Honda | 23\% | MINI | 15\% |
| Hyundai | 4.0\% | 11\% | Honda | 26\% | Kia | 19\% |
| Kia | 3.8\% | 2\% | Honda | 24\% | Hyundai | 19\% |
| Mazda | 3.9\% | -8\% | Honda | 31\% | Fiat | 20\% |
| GMC | 3.3\% | -4\% | Chevrolet | 47\% | Chevrolet | 16\% |
| RAM | 2.7\% | 38\% | Ford | 39\% | Dodge | 16\% |
| Buick | 1.9\% | -3\% | Chevrolet | 28\% | GMC | 7\% |
| Chrysler | 1.7\% | -3\% | Chevrolet | 25\% | Dodge | 9\% |
| Mitsubishi | 0.7\% | -2\% | Ford | 21\% | smart | 3\% |
| MINI | 0.6\% | -12\% | Honda | 20\% | Fiat | 7\% |
| Fiat | 0.5\% | -29\% | Mazda | 20\% | smart | 9\% |
| smart | 0.1\% | -10\% | Chevrolet | 22\% | Fiat | 1\% |

LUXURY

| BRAND | SHARE OF BRAND INTEREST |  | COMPETITIVE BRAND |  | OPPORTUNITY | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | \% CHANGE | THREAT | \% |  |  |
| BMW | 15.9\% | 1\% | Audi | 23\% | Audi | 25\% |
| Mercedes-Benz | 13.4\% | 0\% | BMW | 24\% | Porsche | 21\% |
| Audi | 11.8\% | -5\% | BMW | 25\% | Volvo | 24\% |
| Lexus | 8.2\% | -7\% | Toyota | 19\% | Acura | 17\% |
| Porsche | 6.6\% | 8\% | Audi | 23\% | Maserati | 20\% |
| Tesla | 6.3\% | 23\% | BMW | 15\% | Porsche | 7\% |
| Volvo | 5.7\% | 21\% | Audi | 24\% | Audi | 12\% |
| Acura | 5.7\% | 9\% | Honda | 20\% | Lexus | 16\% |
| Cadillac | 5.2\% | -22\% | Chevrolet | 25\% | Lincoln | 12\% |
| Infiniti | 4.8\% | -5\% | Audi | 17\% | Acura | 8\% |
| Lincoln | 3.6\% | 6\% | Ford | 26\% | Cadillac | 9\% |
| Jaguar | 3.2\% | 0\% | Audi | 19\% | Alfa Romeo | 9\% |
| Land Rover | 3.2\% | -11\% | Mercedes-Benz | 20\% | Maserati | 8\% |
| Alfa Romeo | 2.7\% | -15\% | Audi | 22\% | Jaguar | 7\% |
| Genesis | 2.2\% | 7\% | Audi | 16\% | Alfa Romeo | 3\% |
| Maserati | 1.4\% | 13\% | Mercedes-Benz | 21\% | Porsche | 3\% |
| Polestar | 0.1\% | 1571\% | Volvo | 18\% | Volvo | <1\% |

## PATH TO PURCHASE <br> AN EXPLORATION OF WHAT'S FEEDING ALTERNATIVE FUEL INTEREST

"Gas Guzzlers" as we once knew them are largely a thing of the past. The promise of a greener future has been a focal point among auto manufacturers and policy makers and consumers are increasingly weighing fuel efficiency or their carbon footprint as a top consideration when purchasing a new car.

But the path to an alternative fuel vehicle purchase hasn't been linear. In the not-so-distant past, E85 or flex-fuels promised to be the key to lowering pollution and the way to reach energy independence, and hybrids were just on the horizon. Today the options are vast with hybrid, plug-
in hybrid, electric, and fuel cell among all the standard options (ie., internal combustion or gasoline, diesel, natural gas, flex fuels). Consumers have a lot to consider and are doing a great deal of research before making their purchase.

This analysis explores the trends in alternative fuel interest among Jumpstart shoppers, the top brands and models being researched, as well as their path to arriving at their vehicle of choice. While there may not be one silver bullet that gets them there, we've gleaned some compelling insights into the consumer path to alternative fuel vehicles.

## ALTERNATIVE FUEL INTEREST IS GROWING

SHARE OF SHOPPER INTEREST: TOP 3 FUELS/TECHNOLOGIES


Looking at a four-year trend, interest in gasoline vehicles has slowly been declining (-5\% 2018 vs. 2015), while all other fuel types have seen double-digit increases.


Although hybrid remains the top alternative fuel type that consumers have adopted, it is quickly losing ground to electric, which saw more than 15x growth in 2018.


In 2018 hybrids were still the most-shopped alternative fuel category, serving as the stepping stone towards fully electric vehicles. We look at gasoline cross-shopping (the percent of gasoline shoppers that are looking at alternative fuels after looking at a gasoline engine) as an indicator of growth in alternative fuel interest.

At $38 \%$, gas shoppers were nearly two times more likely to cross-shop a hybrid over an electric vehicle, and more
than three times more likely to cross-shop a hybrid over a plug-in hybrid. However, when looking at a four-year trend, we are seeing them increasingly shift their interest into more progressive technologies. In 2018 they were $55 \%$ more likely to cross-shop electric vehicles and $24 \%$ more likely to cross-shop plug-in hybrids compared to 2015 .

GASOLINE CROSS-SHOPPING

|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | \%Change 2018 Vs. 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hyskid | 54.4\% | 47.3\% | 48.1\% | 38.2\% | -30\% |
| Electre | 13.0\% | 13.9\% | 19.3\% | 20.2\% | 55\% |
| PuGINHYBRD | 9.6\% | 14.2\% | 14.5\% | 11.9\% | 24\% |

## POINTS OF NAVIGATION

Across the Jumpstart portfolio we look at vehicle category or body style icons that are found on main entry pages of our publisher partner websites as indicators of shopper intent. When a consumer clicks on the icon for a sedan, it tells us they had the previous notion or intent to buy a sedan. Similarly, if they click on the icon for an electric or a hybrid, we believe they have the intention or desire to purchase an alternative fuel for their next vehicle.


## THE MAJORITY SHOP WITH A BODY STYLE IN MIND FIRST, AND THEN SHIFT THEIR ATTENTION TO HYBRID/ELECTRIC.

$54 \%$ of users who clicked on both the sedan and hybrid/electric icon clicked on sedan first and then clicked on hybrid/electric at some point after.
$53 \%$ of users who clicked on both the SUV/CUV and hybrid/electric icon clicked on SUV/CUV first and then clicked on hybrid/electric at some point after.

Which brands and models are winning in the alternative fuels? The answer is clear-60\% of the Top 10 most popular alternative fuel models were either Tesla or Toyota.

The course of the alternative fuel race has changed dramatically since 2015 when there was only one SUV/ CUV that ranked inside the Top 10. Running parallel to consumer preferences across the board, the alternative fuel category now has four utilities making the list (Kia Niro Hybrid, Tesla Model X, Toyota Highlander Hybrid, Toyota RAV4 Hybrid).

Looking back, $70 \%$ of the Top 10 were hybrids in 2015 and Prius ranked \#1 in the category. Today hybrids only account for $50 \%$ of the alternative fuel category and Toyota Prius has dropped to \#3, behind two electric vehicles.

## PRICE EXPECTATIONS

For many consumers it will often still come down to price, and there's a lot of research happening around what's available at various price points.


Hybrid shoppers are looking at an average
price range of \$27,142 - \$36,168


When adding electric to the mix, the high end of increases to $\mathbf{\$ 3 8 , 9 5 5}$

TOP 5 MOST POPULAR ALTERNATIVE FUEL MODELS

| Vehicle | Fuel Type | 2018 <br> Share | \% Change <br> vs. 2017 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TESLA MODEL 3 | EV | $10.4 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| TESLA MODELS | AV | $5.2 \%$ | $-110 \%$ |
| TOYOTA PRIUS | MEV | $4.9 \%$ | $-0 \%$ |
| KIA MIRO HYBRID | MEV | $4.6 \%$ | $-12 \%$ |
| TESLAMODELX | EV | $4.10 \%$ | $-16 \%$ |

And while there's no doubt Tesla and Toyota are leading in volume, Honda is the competitor to watch as they're ruling in the category of fastestgrowing alternative fuel vehicles in 2018.

TOP 5 FASTEST GAINERS

| Vehicle | Fuel Type | \% Change <br> vs. 2017 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| HONDA CLARITY |  |  |
| PLUG-IN HYBRID |  |  |$\quad$ PHEV $\quad 42456 \%$

## REGIONAL INTEREST

Among the four alternative fuel types we've analyzed, hybrid ranks \#1 in 39\% of the country followed by electric (24\%), plug-in hybrid (22\%), and fuel cell (16\%).

Shoppers are becoming increasingly interested in fuel cell technology, and like most technologies, the first points of adoption are on the west and northeast coasts. However, in just one year fuel cell jumped from ranking \#1 in only two states to eight states in 2018. But why Louisiana and North Dakota? Air Products, a provider of hydrogen gas and liquid hydrogen, has liquid hydrogen production assets located in Louisiana and the University of North Dakota is leading the charge in developing the hydrogen economy.

## ADOPTION

The adoption of alternative fuels is dependent on a number of factors for consumers:

Their Comfort With The Technology, Especially Range Concerns With Electrics

Desire For Better Fuel Economy Or Reducing Their Carbon Footprint

Perceived Value And The Price They're Willing To Pay For The Benefits

Education, information, and peace of mind. As the infrastructure continues to grow (take a look at our back page), alternative fuels and technology will become fairly standard. And while the path has many options and considerations along the way, we are confident that we're reaching a turning point and more widespread adoption is just around the corner.



## TOP 5 <br> CARS

Although car interest has been declining in recent years, we think there are a few highlights that should be mentioned. Specifically, there are brands that are bucking the trends of their segment and drawing consumer interest back into cars.

## Honda Civic

Ranks \#1 in compact cars with an eight-percentage point gap between the next competitor and continues to hold 19\% share.

## Volkswagen Jetta and Passat

Although neither rank in the Top 5 for compacts or midsize sedans, they jumped $44 \%$ and $35 \%$ in share of shopper interest, respectively, helping VW make its way to recovery.

## Honda Insight, Clarity PHEV, Clarity EV

These three models are rapidly disrupting the alternative fuel car category making Honda a brand to watch as we move towards electrification.

## Kia Stinger

Despite thinking that Kia Stinger's bold entrance would fade away by mid-year, it held the \#2 spot in the entry luxury car category for all of 2018 and ranks less than three-percentage points from BMW 3 Series.

## Genesis G70

A new contender in the entry luxury car category, G70 jumped $270 \%$ in 2018 and has made its way to ranking 7 th in the segment with $6 \%$ share of shopper interest.

## Cadillac CT6

The fate of CT6 is in question as GM plans to reduce its car lineup, but it jumped four spots in the full-size luxury sedan category in 2018 with a $43 \%$ increase in shopper interest.

## SUBCOMPACT CARS

## 2018 Segment Rank 27 Share 1.7\% Change -12\%

| Honda Fit |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 16.8\% | 2017 16.2\% | 4\% |
| 2 Nissan Versa |  |  |
| 2018 12.1\% | 2017 12.3\% | -2\% |
| $\}$ Toyota Yaris |  |  |
| 2018 10.7\% | 2017 10.7\% | -1\% |
| 4 Chevrolet Sonic |  |  |
| 2018 9.4\% | 2017 9.2\% | 2\% |
| 5 Chevrolet Spark |  |  |
| 2018 8.8\% | 2017 8.8\% | -1\% |

Total Segment Size: 11 Models

## MIDSIZE SEDANS <br> 

2018 Segment Rank $\mathbf{4}$ Share 5.3\% Change -13\%

| Honda Accord |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4 \%}$ | 2017 |

2 Toyota Camry

| 3 Ford Fusion |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | $9.1 \%$ | $20179.5 \%$ |

4 Nissan Altima

5 | 5 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | Chevrolet Malibu |  |  |

[^1]COMPACT CARS
2018 Segment Rank 5 Share 4.8\% Change -9\%

|  | Honda Civic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $19.1 \%$ | 2017 |
| $19.2 \%$ | O Change |  |

2 Mazda Mazda3
2018 11.4\% 2017 11.6\% -2\%

3 Toyota Corolla
2018 9.8\% 2017 7.6\% 28\%

4 Chevrolet Cruze
2018 8.8\% 2017 8.8\% 0\%

5 Hyundai Elantra
2018 7.5\% 2017 6.8\% 11\%

Total Segment Size: 15 Models

## ALTERNATIVE FUEL CARS



2018 Segment Rank 12 Share 2.8\% Change 3\%

| Tesla Model 3 |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 25.0\% | 2017 19.7\% | 27\% |
| 2 Toyota Prius |  |  |
| 2018 11.9\% | 2017 13.1\% | -9\% |
| 3 Chevrolet Bolt |  |  |
| 2018 9.8\% | 2017 13.6\% | -28\% |
| 4 Honda Insight |  |  |
| 2018 7.5\% | 2017 0.3\% | 2499\% |
| 5 Chevrolet Volt |  |  |
| 2018 7.4\% | 2017 8.8\% | -17\% |

## ENTRY LUXURY COMPACTS



2018 Segment Rank 32 Share 0.7\% Change -4\%

| Audi A3 |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 26.5\% | 2017 27.8\% | -5\% |
| 2 BMW 2 Series |  |  |
| 2018 23.9\% | 2017 29.2\% | -18\% |
| 3 Acura ILX |  |  |
| 2018 17.4\% | 2017 16.5\% | 6\% |
| 4 Mercedes-Benz CLA-Class |  |  |
| 2018 17.4\% | 2017 15.9\% | 9\% |
| 5 Mercedes-Benz A-Class |  |  |
| 2018 8.3\% | 2017 0.4\% | 1847\% |

Total Segment Size: 6 Models

## MIDSIZE LUXURY SEDANS <br> 

2018 Segment Rank 18 Share 2\% Change - $21 \%$

| 1 BMW 5 Series |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \mathbf{2 0 . 6 \%}$ | $201717.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |

2 Mercedes-Benz E-Class $\quad 2017 \quad 17.5 \% \quad-1 \%$

| 3 Audi A6 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2018 \quad 12.3 \%$ | 2017 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 4 Genesis G80 |  | $16 \%$ |
| $2018 \quad 11.7 \%$ | 2017 | $11.3 \%$ |

5 Cadillac CTS

[^2]ENTRY LUXURY CARS


2018 Segment Rank 7 Share 4.2\% Change -5\%

| BMW 3 Series |  | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} 15.6 \%$ | $201712.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |

2 Kia Stinger

3 Mercedes-Benz C-Class
2018 8.8\% 2017 10.5\% $\quad-17 \%$

4 Alfa Romeo Giulia

5 Audi A4
2018 8.3\% $20178.8 \% \quad-6 \%$

Total Segment Size: 17 Models

## FULL-SIZE LUXURY SEDANS



2018 Segment Rank 20 Share 1.9\% Change -18\%

| Tesla Model S |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 19.4\% | 2017 19.3\% | 0\% |
| Mercedes-Benz S-Class |  |  |
| 2018 14.1\% | 2017 10.8\% | 31\% |


| 3 BMW 7 Series |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20189.5 \%$ | 2017 | $11.8 \%$ | $-19 \%$ |


| 4 Audi A7 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20189.3 \%$ | $20176.9 \%$ | $34 \%$ |


| 5 Cadillac CT6 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \mathbf{8 . 2 \%}$ | $20175.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ |

[^3]

## TOP 5 SUVS AND CUVS

Despite already having won the popularity contest, the SUV and crossover categories do not disappoint in continuous product innovation. In 2018 we saw some noteworthy successes among the mainstays of the segments, but also plenty of growth in new vehicles on the market.

Hyundai Kona
In its first full year on the market, Kona ranked 3rd in one of the most popular and high-growth segments, grabbing nearly $12 \%$ share of shopper interest in the category.

## Toyota RAV4

A long-standing success among 22 compact SUVs/CUVs, RAV4 increased its share of shopper interest by $28 \%$ while most of its Top 5 competitors declined.

## Subaru Ascent

Although not one of the Top 5 among midsize SUV/CUV shoppers, Subaru Ascent jumped $73 \%$ and ranks 10th in shopper interest in 2018, the first full year since its debut.

## Volvo XC40

In its first generation, XC40 jumped to rank 2nd in the compact luxury SUV/CUV segment, with nearly 470\% growth in 2018 and only six-percentage points from Acura RDX.

## Mercedes-Benz G-Class

The redesigned Mercedes-Benz G-Class caught the attention of large luxury SUV shoppers. With $92 \%$ growth from the prior year, G-Class jumped from 4th to 1st in the category.

## Lincoln Navigator

In a small segment with a lot of growth, Navigator was able to capture an increase of $43 \%$ in share of shopper interest, ranking 3rd among large luxury SUVs.

| SUBCOMPACT SUVS/CUVS |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Segment Rank 6 | 6 Share 4 | Change 17\% |
| Subaru Crosstrek |  | \% Change |
| 2018 14.2\% | 2017 14.0\% | 1\% |
| 2 Honda HR-V |  |  |
| 2018 13.2\% | 2017 14.1\% | -6\% |
| \} Hyundai Kona |  |  |
| 2018 11.8\% | 2017 0.5\% | 2352\% |
| 4 Jeep Renegade |  |  |
| 2018 9.0\% | 2017 10.9\% | -17\% |
| 5 Mazda CX-3 |  |  |
| 2018 8.4\% | 2017 9.6\% | -12\% |
| Total Segment Size: 16 Models |  |  |
| MIDSIZE SUVS/CUVS |  |  |
| 2018 Segment Rank 2 | k 2 Share | Change 5\% |
| Toyota Highlander |  | \% Change |
| 2018 10.2\% | 2017 11.2\% | -9\% |
| 2 Jeep Grand Cherokee |  |  |
| 2018 7.7\% | 2017 8.4\% | -8\% |
| 3 Honda Pilot |  |  |
| 2018 7.2\% | 2017 7.7\% | -6\% |
| 4 Ford Explorer |  |  |
| 2018 6.7\% | 2017 6.7\% | 0\% |
| 5 Chevrolet Traverse |  |  |
| 2018 6.5\% | 2017 5.5\% | 18\% |

COMPACT SUVS/CUVS


2018 Segment Rank 1 Share 10.8\% Change 6\%

| Honda CR-V |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 13.9\% | 2017 16.0\% | -13\% |
| 2 Jeep Wrangler |  |  |
| 2018 11.7\% | 2017 12.6\% | -7\% |
| 3 Toyota RAV4 |  |  |
| 2018 10.6\% | 2017 8.3\% | 28\% |
| 4 Mazda CX-5 |  |  |
| 2018 9.6\% | 2017 9.8\% | -1\% |
| 5 Chevrolet Equinox |  |  |
| 2018 6.5\% | 2017 6.4\% | 2\% |

Total Segment Size: 22 Models

## LARGE SUVS



2018 Segment Rank 24 Share 1.7\% Change-10\%

| $\left\lvert\,$Chevrolet Tahoe  <br> 2018 $27.5 \%$ $201726.0 \%\right.$ | 6\% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 |  |

2 Ford Expedition
2018 23.4\% 2017 22.3\% 5\%

3 GMC Yukon
2018 15.8\% 2017 16.9\% -7\%

4 | 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | Chevrolet Suburban |  |
| $20 \%$ | 2017 | $12.7 \%$ |

5 Nissan Armada
2018 9.8\% 2017 10.6\% -7\%
Total Segment Size: 6 Models

[^4]COMPACT LUXURY SUVS/CUVS


2018 Segment Rank 13 Share 2.8\% Change 53\%

| Acura RDX |  | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2018 \mathbf{2 1 . 4 \%}$ | $201715.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ |

2 Volvo XC40

BMW X1
2018 13.5\% 2017 16.9\% $-20 \%$
4 Lexus NX

5 Audi Q3

Total Segment Size: 12 Models

FULL-SIZE LUXURY SUVS/CUVS 2018 Segment Rank 10 Share 3.7\% Change 6\%

| Audi Q7 |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 13.2\% | 2017 14.2\% | -7\% |
| $2 \mathrm{BMW} \times 5$ |  |  |
| 2018 12.5\% | 2017 10.5\% | 19\% |
| 3 Acura MDX |  |  |
| 2018 12.2\% | 2017 13.5\% | -9\% |
| 4 Volvo XC90 |  |  |
| 2018 11.5\% | 2017 11.8\% | -3\% |
| 5 Porsche Cayenne |  |  |
| 2018 11.1\% | 2017 10.0\% | 11\% |

## MIDSIZE LUXURY SUVS/CUVS

2018 Segment Rank 9 Share 4.1\% Change 13\%

| 1 | Lexus RX | \% Change |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $201814.7 \%$ | 2017 | $14.4 \%$ |
| $2 \%$ |  |  |

## 2 Audi Q5

2018 11.9\% 2017 13.8\% $\quad-14 \%$

3 Volvo XC60
2018 9.6\% $20179.0 \% \quad 6 \%$

4 BMW X3
2018 9.3\% 2017 9.3\%

5 Porsche Macan
2018 8.0\% 20178.1\% 0\%
Total Segment Size: 17 Models

## LARGE LUXURY SUVS



2018 Segment Rank 16 Share 2.1\% Change 8\%



BY THE NUMBERS

## TOP 5

## TRUCKS AND VANS

Trucks continue to thrive, and competition has been heating up with major refreshes and new entries hitting the market. Even more exciting are the possibilities of new brands entering the truck scene in the near future. At the same time, passenger vans have been declining, but a new market for large vans has emerged.

## Ford Ranger

One of the most highly-anticipated launches, Ford Ranger ranks \#1 in the midsize truck segment with $185 \%$ growth-literally stealing share from every other vehicle in the segment.

## Chevrolet Silverado

In the ongoing truck wars, 2018 was a big year for Silverado. With nearly $40 \%$ growth, Silverado 1500 got closer to threatening Ford F-150's share than ever before.

RAM 1500
Ranking 3rd in the segment for another year, RAM 1500 had a successful 2018 with $50 \%$ growth and $19 \%$ share of the highly competitive full-size pickup truck category.

## Chrysler Pacifica

Despite the minivan segment declining 14\%, Chrysler
Pacifica saw 50\% growth in 2018, jumping past Toyota Sienna and Honda Odyssey for the first time.

## Dodge Grand Caravan

With similar growth as Pacifica, Dodge Grand Caravan increased 49\% in shopper interest in 2018 and ranks 4th in one of the smallest segments.

## RAM ProMaster

Although two of the three van segments declined in 2018, large vans grew 15\% and RAM ProMaster outpaced the segment with $21 \%$ growth in share of shopper interest.

## MIDSIZE PICKUP TRUCKS



## 2018 Segment Rank 8 Share 4.2\% Change 33\%

| Ford Ranger |  | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \mathbf{4 0 . 5 \%}$ | 2017 | $14.2 \%$ |
| $185 \%$ |  |  |

$2 \frac{\text { Toyota Tacoma }}{2018 \quad 19.1 \%} \quad 201722.5 \% \quad-15 \%$

3 | 3 | Chevrolet Colorado |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | $16.1 \%$ | 2017 | $23.6 \%$ |
| $-32 \%$ |  |  |  |


$5 \frac{\text { Nissan Frontier }}{}$ llll

Total Segment Size: 7 Models

## 3/4-\& 1-TON PICKUP TRUCKS

2018 Segment Rank 22 Share 1.8\% Change 12\%

|  | Ford F-250 Super Duty | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 9 \%}$ | 2017 | $27.7 \%$ |
| $4 \%$ |  |  |  |

2 Chevrolet Silverado 2500HD
2018 18.2\% 2017 18.8\% -3\%

3 RAM 2500
2018 17.6\% 2017 14.6\% 21\%

4 Ford F-350 Super Duty
2018 8.9\% 2017 9.6\% -7\%

5 GMC Sierra 2500HD
2018 8.3\% 2017 9.1\% -9\%

[^5]FULL-SIZE PICKUP TRUCKS


## 2018 Segment Rank 3 Share 6.4\% Change 22\%

| Ford F-150 |  | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2018 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4 \%}$ | 2017 | $34.1 \%$ |
| $-17 \%$ |  |  |  |

2 | Chevrolet Silverado 1500 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2 \%}$ | 2017 | $18.8 \%$ |
| $39 \%$ |  |  |  |

$\}$ RAM 1500
2018 19.3\% 2017 12.9\%
4 Toyota Tundra

5 | GMC Sierra 1500 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | $7.8 \%$ | 2017 | $7.7 \%$ |
| $1 \%$ |  |  |  |

Total Segment Size: 7 Models

## SMALL VANS <br> 

2018 Segment Rank 35 Share 0.3\% Change - $71 \%$


Total Segment Size: 5 Models

LARGE VANS

2018 Segment Rank 25 Share 7.7\% Change -14\%

| Chrysler Pacifica |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2018 \quad 32.7 \%$ | 2017 | $23.3 \%$ |
|  |  | $40 \%$ |

2 Honda Odyssey

3 Toyota Sienna
2018 20.3\% $201723.7 \% \quad-14 \%$

4 Dodge Grand Caravan
2018 13.8\% 2017 9.2\% 49\%

5 Kia Sedona
$2018 \quad 7.4 \% \quad 20177.1 \% \quad 4 \%$

Total Segment Size: 5 Models

2018 Segment Rank 34 Share 0.5\% Change 15\%

|  | Ford Transit |  | \% Change |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} 31.4 \%$ | $201734.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 8 \%}$ |  |

## 2 Mercedes-Benz Sprinter

2018 19.9\% 2017 18.7\% 6\%

| 3 Chevrolet Express |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | $17.9 \%$ | 2017 | $16.3 \%$ |
| 4 |  | $10 \%$ |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |
| RAM ProMaster |  | 2017 | $11.9 \%$ |

5 Nissan NV

[^6]

## TOP SEGMENTS FUELING INTEREST

## Highest Affinity Scores ${ }^{1}$

There's no doubt that consumer preferences are changing, especially as more options come to market with features to fit every need. In fact, the \#1 non-luxury vehicle segment changed in 14 states in 2018 compared to 2017. In this analysis, we use affinity scores instead of volume to highlight where geographical interest climbs ahead of the national average. The higher the score, the greater the interest is above its national rank.

The Top 3 highest affinity scores among all segments in any state were:

| STATE | SEGMENT | SCORE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ALASKA | LARGE VAN | $\mathbf{9 3 . 3}$ |
| CALIFORNIA | ALTERNATIVE FUELCAR | $\mathbf{9 1 . 2}$ |
| WYOMING | $3 / 4-\& 1-T O N$ PICKUP | $\mathbf{8 5 . 2}$ |

From Power And Performance, To Population And Utility

Compact SUVs/CUVs and minivans rank \#1 in more states than any other non-luxury segment (6 states each).

The midsize pickup truck segment had the fastest-growing affinity score in 11 states, beating every other segment in popularity.

Idaho, Utah, and Arizona ranked in both the Top 5 for growth in minivan affinity and in population growth from 2017-2018.

And interestingly, states with large urban areas (e.g., New York, New Jersey) also saw rapid affinity growth for midsize SUVs/CUVs.


## BODY STYLES

| $\square \square$ 3/4-\& 1-Ton Pickup | $\square$ Compact SUV/CUV | $\square$ Hatchback/Wagon | $\square$ Midsize Pickup Truck | $\square$ Muscle Car | $\square$ Subcompact Car |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Alternative Fuel Car | $\square$ Coupe \& Sport Car | $\square$ Large SUV | $\square$ Midsize SUV/CUV | $\square$ Performance | $\square$ Subcompact SUV/CUV |
| $\square$ Compact Car | $\square$ Full-Size Sedan | $\square$ Large Van | $\square$ Minivan | $\square$ Small Van | $\square$ Full-Size Pickup Truck |

[^7]Using a mathematical score that takes into account the mean and standard deviation of traffic to Jumpstart sites, these maps display shopper interest in vehicle segments and brands across all states.


## Highest Affinity Scores ${ }^{1}$

Luxury segments are arguably undergoing even more change than non-luxury-a trend that can be seen in luxury product lineups, irrespective of ultra-performance or mainstream brands. The polarity that exists can be seen with both compact luxury SUVs/CUVs and luxury sports cars ranking \#1 in an equal amount of states, and more often than any other luxury segment. We also found that the \#1 luxury vehicle segment changed in 17 states from last year.

The Top 3 highest affinity scores among all luxury segments in any state were:

| STATE | SEGMENT | SCORE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| VERMONT | LUXURY HATCHBACK/WAGON | $\mathbf{9 1 . 5}$ |
| COLORADO | LUXURY PERFORMANCE SUV/CUV | $\mathbf{7 9 . 2}$ |
| MISSISSIPPI | FULL-SIZE LUXURY SEDAN | $\mathbf{7 7 . 9}$ |

## Switching Gears Across The Country

Full-size luxury SUVs/CUVs had the fastest-growing affinity score in nine states and is gaining popularity in the Southeast, where cars usually rule the roads.

While compacts and alternative fuel cars have historically had the highest affinity on the West coast, midsize luxury SUVs/CUVs had some of the highest growth in Hawaii, California, and Oregon.

Entry luxury cars saw an increase of more than $10 \%$ in affinity scores in the mountain states of Utah, Colorado, and Nevada-an opportunity for luxury OEMs to draw in first-time luxury buyers from this region.

## A COUNTRY DIVIDED BRAND PREFERENCES BY STATE

NON-LUXURY BRANDS


BY STATE

| $\square$ | $\square$ Ford | $\square$ Jeep | $\square$ Mitsubishi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Buick | $\square$ GMC | $\square$ Siabaru | $\square$ Nissan |
| $\square$ Chevrolet | $\square$ Honda | $\square$ Mazda | $\square$ RAM |
| $\square$ Chrysler | $\square$ Hyundai | $\square$ MINI | $\square$ smart |

When it comes to choosing a luxury or non-luxury vehicle, U.S. shoppers are truly divided. Luxury ranks \#1 in 25 states, mostly those on the coasts. And with only two exceptions
(Vermont and Maine), all states where non-luxury ranks \#1 are in central parts of the country.

In both luxury and non-luxury, the \#1 brand affinity changed


## BY STATE

| $\square$ Acura | $\square$ Cadillac | $\square$ Land Rover |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Alfa Romeo | $\square$ Genesis | $\square$ Polestar |
| $\square$ Audi | $\square$ Infiniti | $\square$ Lexus |
| $\square$ BMW | $\square$ Jaguar | $\square$ Maserati |

in $1 / 3$ of the states from $2017-2018$. Whether the decision comes down to a vehicle segment, new or used, luxury or non-luxury, or which brand or model to purchase, consumers' options are abundant and ever-changing.

Brand loyalty has been increasingly challenging for manufacturers and the regional fluctuations we're seeing in shopper interest only further demonstrate how competitive the auto market is today.


JUMPSTART IS:
Car and Driver, Road \& Track, U.S. News Best Cars, J.D.Power Cars, NADAguides, Autoweek.com, Autobytel, Autolist.com, LeftLaneNews.com, Carpages.ca, CarBuzz, CarStory, iSeeCars, and VehicleHistory.com

## THE AUTO CATEGORY FUELS AHEAD

## TOTAL UNIQUE VISITORS

OCTOBER - DECEMBER 2018 VS. 2017

TOP 5 AUTO RESOURCE COMPETITORS

|  | Q4 2018 | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Kijiji.CA | 3.2 M | $\mathbf{- 1 3 \%}$ |
| 2 Autotrader.CA | 2.0 M | $\mathbf{+ 8 \%}$ |
| D Driving.CA | 1.5 M | $\mathbf{+ 1 3 0 \%}$ |
| Jumpstart | 1.4 M | $\mathbf{+ 2 \%}$ |
| CarGurus.com | 1.3 M | $\mathbf{+ 5 0 \%}$ |



TOP 5 MANUFACTURER WEBSITES

$$
\text { Q4 } 2018 \text { \% Change }
$$

| Fiat Chrysler <br> Automobiles (FCA) | 870 K | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Honda | 770 K | $\mathbf{+ 1 2 \%}$ |
| Toyota.com | 736 K | $\mathbf{- 1 \%}$ |
| Chevrolet.com | 703 K | $\mathbf{- 2 9 \%}$ |
| 5 NissanUSA.com | 666 K | $\mathbf{+ 6 9 \%}$ |

## REACHING UNIQUE AUDIENCES

LOW DUPLICATION AMONG
JUMPSTART \& KEY COMPETITORS

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SHARED AUDIENCE <br> W/JUMPSTART | \% SHARED <br> AUDIENCE | JUMPSTART'S <br> UNIQUE AUDIENCE |
| Kijiji.CA Cars \& Vehicles | 601 K | $19 \%$ | 792 K |
| Autotrader.CA | 581 K | $29 \%$ | 812 K |
| CarGurus.com | 343 K | $27 \%$ | $1,049 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| MSN Autos - Canada | 214 K | $18 \%$ | $1,178 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| Driving.CA | 240 K | $17 \%$ | $1,153 \mathrm{~K}$ |



Jumpstart's audience continues to show low duplication among top competitive auto research and shopping websites. The highest cross-visitation is typically found with auto listings websites, as seen with Kijiji Cars \& Vehicles, Autotrader, and Cargurus.

## GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION



11\% are French-speaking, which closely aligns with the population of visitors from Quebec.


FRENCH SPEAKING

## JUMPSTART CANADA'S AUDIENCE PROFILE

## AGE



Jumpstart's Canadian audience skews younger than in the U.S., with a majority falling between 25 - 54 years old. With a significant increase in smartphone traffic in 2018, the age of mobile visitors also shifted. The largest population of smartphone visitors falls within the 18 - 49 range, representing 68\% of Jumpstart's mobile audience.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME*


Jumpstart's visitors with an income of $\$ 60 \mathrm{k}+$ index at $118, \$ 75 \mathrm{k}+$ at 108 , and those with $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ fall in line with the average internet population.

## GENDER



Jumpstart's audience skews towards men across all devices, however it increases to $72 \%$ on smartphones and decreases to $65 \%$ on tablets. More women are using tablets (35\%) to shop for cars than other devices.

# HOW SHOPPERS ARE REACHING OUR PORTFOLIO 

NEW AND RETURNING VISITORS


TRAFFIC SOURCES

## Search

Landing on Jumpstart pages
through natural (organic) search

## Direct

Typing the exact URL for one of our sites into the browser


## Paid Search

Landing on Jumpstart pages
through a sponsored search link
12\%

## Referral

Coming to Jumpstart directly
from another site


## Social

Discovering Jumpstart through social media platforms


## Other

Entering one of Jumpstart's sites most commonly from a campaign-tagged URL

80\% of Jumpstart's traffic reaches our sites through organic methods.


With insight into the shopping behaviors of
1.4 million unique monthly visitors, Jumpstart's automotive shopping metrics identify trends in interest and are relied upon as indicators of intent.

# METHODOLOGY <br> IN 2018, WE REVAMPED OUR DATA PLATFORM <br> TO BETTER PINPOINT ACTIVE SHOPPERS 



Our PRIOR methods relied upon CONTENT
to identify user intent


Our NEW methodology utilizes user BEHAVIOR to identify active shoppers

These core metrics are then used to analyze ACTIVE SHOPPERS and provide an assessment of YOUR brand health.


+ Based On Monthly Unique Visitor Data, This Metric Shows The Percentage Of:

Visitors who looked at a particular model relative to a defined population


Visitors who viewed
ONLY one model

Shoppers who viewed a competitive model AFTER viewing your model FIRST


## + In Other Words, These Metrics Measure:

Shoppers who viewed a com-
petitive model FIRST then
visited your model AFTER



COMPETITIVE OPPORTUNITIES

Because the data is collected at a model level there is flexibility to aggregate and calculate by the following:

$r v$
NEWVS. USED

LUXURY VS. NON-LUXURY

BRAND
ORIGIN

BODY
STYLE

FUEL

VEHICLE SEGMENT
BRAND
MAKE

What makes this data even better? It's sourced from our diverse in-market sites that specialize in various aspects of the shopping process.

It represents the entire path to purchase so you can see the COMPLETE picture!



## BODY STYLES

## SHARE OF INTEREST


suv/cuv


Truck


Sedan

$12.00 / 0$
$+11 \%$
9.3\%


Performance

$8.60 / 0$

$7.20 / 0$


Hatchback/Wagon

$3.40 / 0$

$2.90 / 0$


Coupe




## SHARE OF INTEREST BY BRAND

NON-LUXURY

| BRAND | SHARE OF BRAND INTEREST |  | COMPETITIVE BRAND |  | OPPORTUNITY | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | \% CHANGE | THREAT | \% |  |  |
| Ford | 14.2\% | 7\% | Chevrolet | 22\% | RAM | 39\% |
| Chevrolet | 10.6\% | 1\% | Ford | 25\% | GMC | 42\% |
| Toyota | 10.3\% | 1\% | Honda | 30\% | Honda | 27\% |
| Honda | 10.0\% | -6\% | Toyota | 27\% | Toyota | 30\% |
| Jeep | 4.7\% | -3\% | Ford | 21\% | Dodge | 12\% |
| Nissan | 6.3\% | 1\% | Honda | 21\% | Mitsubishi | 13\% |
| Dodge | 4.8\% | -6\% | Ford | 21\% | Chrysler | 22\% |
| Subaru | 4.2\% | -3\% | Honda | 25\% | Volkswagen | 11\% |
| Volkswagen | 5.9\% | -11\% | Honda | 22\% | MINI | 17\% |
| Hyundai | 6.9\% | 27\% | Honda | 23\% | Kia | 22\% |
| Kia | 4.8\% | 7\% | Hyundai | 22\% | Hyundai | 18\% |
| Mazda | 5.4\% | -16\% | Honda | 29\% | Honda | 17\% |
| GMC | 3.4\% | 6\% | Chevrolet | 42\% | Chevrolet | 16\% |
| RAM | 2.9\% | 36\% | Ford | 39\% | Dodge | 14\% |
| Buick | 1.5\% | -5\% | Chevrolet | 23\% | Cadillac | 6\% |
| Chrysler | 1.4\% | -8\% | Dodge | 22\% | Dodge | 8\% |
| Mitsubishi | 1.3\% | 12\% | Honda | 19\% | smart | 3\% |
| MINI | 0.6\% | -16\% | Volkswagen | 17\% | Fiat | 6\% |
| Fiat | 0.6\% | -36\% | Ford | 15\% | smart | 7\% |
| smart | 0.2\% | -8\% | Chevrolet | 18\% | Fiat | 2\% |

LUXURY

| BRAND | SHARE OF BRAND INTEREST |  | COMPETITIVE BRAND |  | OPPORTUNITY | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | \% CHANGE | THREAT | \% |  |  |
| BMW | 18.2\% | 8\% | Audi | 23\% | Mercedes-Benz | 24\% |
| Mercedes-Benz | 14.2\% | -9\% | BMW | 24\% | Maserati | 22\% |
| Audi | 14.8\% | -7\% | BMW | 24\% | Porsche | 24\% |
| Lexus | 6.5\% | 2\% | Audi | 19\% | Acura | 14\% |
| Porsche | 6.5\% | 6\% | Audi | 24\% | Maserati | 21\% |
| Tesla | 4.7\% | 10\% | BMW | 16\% | Maserati | 7\% |
| Volvo | 5.7\% | 12\% | Audi | 24\% | Jaguar | 12\% |
| Acura | 6.3\% | 9\% | Honda | 21\% | Lexus | 15\% |
| Cadillac | 4.1\% | -11\% | Chevrolet | 18\% | Lincoln | 11\% |
| Infiniti | 4.4\% | 0\% | Audi | 17\% | Lexus | 7\% |
| Lincoln | 2.7\% | 8\% | Ford | 25\% | Cadillac | 8\% |
| Jaguar | 3.2\% | -8\% | BMW | 20\% | Land Rover | 9\% |
| Land Rover | 2.7\% | -20\% | BMW | 20\% | Maserati | 8\% |
| Alfa Romeo | 2.4\% | -10\% | Audi | 22\% | Maserati | 6\% |
| Genesis | 2.2\% | 39\% | Audi | 17\% | Alfa Romeo | 4\% |
| Maserati | 1.1\% | 5\% | Mercedes-Benz | 22\% | Porsche | 2\% |
| Polestar | 0.1\% | 213\% | Volvo | 18\% | Maserati | <1\% |

## PATH TO PURCHASE <br> AN EXPLORATION OF WHAT'S FEEDING ALTERNATIVE FUEL INTEREST

It's no surprise that Canada has been focused on energy efficiency, reducing carbon emissions, and working towards a greener future. Through climate and energy policy, building and development codes, and the commitment of collaboration across federal, provincial, and territorial governments, the fight to reduce global climate change is one that Canadians are committed to. Despite that, the hefty purchase price and comfort level of new automotive engine and fuel technologies can cause slow adoption.

But it's not bad news. Canadians are showing strong adoption and interest for alternative fuel vehicles, and while the purchase journey is not always linear, we have many indicators that show continuous growth and wider adoption ahead.

This analysis explores the trends in alternative fuel interest among Jumpstart's Canadian shoppers, the top brands and models being researched, as well as their path to arriving at an alternative fuel vehicle. Faced with many options (hybrid, plug-in hybrid, electric, fuel cell, and more), consumers are becoming increasingly informed and choosing the path to alternative fuel vehicles.

## ALTERNATIVE FUEL INTEREST IS GROWING

SHARE OF SHOPPER INTEREST: TOP 3 FUELS/TECHNOLOGIES


Looking at a four-year trend, interest in gasoline vehicles has slowly been declining (-5\% 2018 vs. 2015), while all other fuel types have seen double-digit increases


Unlike the U.S., Canada has adopted electric more than hybrid technologies- electric became the \#1 alternative fuel type in Canada in 2018, and growth since 2015 outpaced hybrids 23 to 1 .


[^8]
## LEAPING FROM GAS TO ELECTRIC

While electrics are the top choice for alternative fuels, we look at gasoline cross-shopping (i.e., the percent of gasoline shoppers that are looking at other alternative fuel types after looking at a gasoline engine) as an indicator of growth. With gasoline engines making up the largest volume of vehicle sales and shopping, this segment will be feeding the alternative fuel categories for years to come.

In 2018 gas shoppers were still moving to hybrids (34\%) as a stepping stone towards fuel independence, and were $40 \%$ more likely to cross-shop a hybrid than an electric. However, there is strong progression towards electric technologies. In comparison to 2015, gas shoppers were $65 \%$ more likely to cross-shop electric and $15 \%$ more likely to cross-shop plug-in hybrids.

GASOLINE CROSS-SHOPPING


## POINTS OF NAVIGATION

Across the Jumpstart portfolio we look at vehicle category or body style icons that are found on main entry pages of our publisher partner websites as indicators of shopper intent. When a consumer clicks on the icon for a sedan, it tells us they had the previous notion or intent to buy a sedan. Similarly, if they click on the icon for an electric or a hybrid, we believe they have the intention or desire to purchase an alternative fuel for their next vehicle.


## THE MAJORITY SHOP WITH A BODY STYLE IN MIND FIRST, AND THEN SHIFT THEIR ATTENTION TO HYBRID/ELECTRIC.

54\% of users who clicked on both the sedan and hybrid/electric icon clicked on sedan FIRST and then clicked on hybrid/electric at some point after.
$53 \%$ of users who clicked on both the SUV/CUV and hybrid/electric icon clicked on SUV/CUV FIRST and then clicked on hybrid/electric at some point after.

Roughly 46\% of users are starting with the hybrid/ electric category in mind, indicating that they are at least interested in an alternative fuel vehicle right from the start.


Which brands and models are winning in the alternative fuels? Given the affinity towards electric vehicles, Tesla and Chevrolet are winning two spots each of the Top 10 most popular alternative fuel models, but Toyota also claims two spots among their hybrid lineup.

Looking at the top-growing models in shopper interest, it's clear that alternative fuels are a focus for every manufacturer. Sure, Honda is leading the list with significant growth in three vehicles (Clarity Plug-In Hybrid, Clarity Electric, and Insight), but the diverse mix of brands and models points to a widespread trend that can't be ignored.

## PRICE EXPECTATIONS

For many consumers it will often still come down to price, and there's a lot of research happening around what's available at various price points.

Hybrid shoppers are looking at an average range of \$36,099-\$48,103


When adding electric to the mix, the high end of increases to $\mathbf{\$ 5 1 , 8 1 0}$

## TOP 5 MOST POPULAR

 ALTERNATIVE FUEL MODELS| Vehicle | Fuel Type | 2018 <br> Share | \% Change <br> vs. 2017 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TESLA MODEL 3 | EV | $8.0 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| CHEVROLET | EV | $5.1 \%$ | $-24 \%$ |
| BOLT | AV | $4.9 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| NISSAN LEAF | LEV | $4.8 \%$ | $-13 \%$ |
| KIA NIRO HYBRID | HEY |  |  |
| CHEVROLET VOLT | PHEV | $4.4 \%$ | $-110 \%$ |

## Alternative fuel shoppers in Canada are still showing love towards compact body styles, but in 2018 two SUVs/CUVs made the Top 10 list (Kia Niro Hybrid and Toyota RAV4 Hybrid) and more are on the horizon.

## TOP 5 FASTEST GAINERS

| Vehicle | Fuel Type | \% Change <br> vs. 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HONDA CLARITY PLUG-IN <br> HYBRID | PHEV | $301560 \%$ |
| HONDA CLARITY ELECTRIC | EV | $15004 \%$ |
| MINI COUNTRYMAN SE <br> PLUG-IN HYBRID | PHEV | $28390 \%$ |
| HONDA INSIGHT | MEV | $20830 \%$ |
| VOLVO S90 PLUGIN HYBRID | PHEV | $19550 \%$ |

## REGIONAL INTEREST

Although gas (or petroleum) is still dominant across 62\% of Canada, alternative fuels are starting to pop in some of the most-populated regions of the country. Here, we look at alternative fuels or technologies from an affinity standpoint, ranked and scored by how much higher the interest is from the national average.

Among the alternative fuel types, hybrid ranks \#1 in 54\% of the country followed by electric (31\%), and plug-in hybrid (15\%). Given a limited infrastructure for fuel-cell vehicles, this technology failed to rank \#1 in any province/territory. However, Canada is not far behind and is currently testing and working with manufacturers like Toyota and Honda, as well as teaming up with hydrogen suppliers to ignite the development of a charging network.

The highest affinity for electric lies within British Columbia and Quebec, where electric ranks \#1 among all alternative fuels. This aligns with an October 2018
report from the Global News citing Quebec as "leading the country in the number of electric vehicles on the road" and identifying concerns that the supply of electric vehicles in British Columbia could not keep up with demand.

On the other hand, Ontario has taken a step back on the road to electrification. The affinity for electric vehicles fell 9\% from 2017 to 2018, the largest decrease among all provinces. Recent policy changes (announced in July 2018) are likely causing the down-shift. Among the changes was the cancellation of the cap and trade program (incentives for reducing emissions), resulting in lowering the price of gas and ending several key clean energy programs, including Ontario's Electric and Hydrogen Vehicle Incentive Program and the Electric Vehicle Charging Incentive Programs.

Given record-high gas prices and a greener mindset nationally, Canadians will more quickly adopt alternative fuels and technologies with the following:

The Development Of A More Widespread Infrastructure
Incentives That Will Help Towards Increasing Vehicle Prices


Source: Jumpstart Internal Analytics, January 2017 - December 2018


BY THE NUMBERS

## TOP 5 <br> CARS

## Toyota Corolla

Despite slight declines in the segment, Corolla increased $28 \%$ in shopper interest in 2018 and held its rank at \#3.

## Nissan Altima

With a 2019 model-year refresh, Nissan Altima increased shopper interest by $52 \%$ in a segment that saw overall declines of $11 \%$.

## Nissan Leaf

As alternative fuel cars pick up speed, Nissan Leaf was able to capture growth of $51 \%$ in a highly competitive segment, while most of its Top 5 competitors lost share to new entries.

## Mercedes-Benz A-Class

In the new segment of entry luxury compact cars, Mercedes-Benz A-Class is grabbing shopper attention with rapid growth of $980 \%$ in anticipation of its 2019 arrival.

## Kia Stinger

Despite thinking that Kia Stinger's bold entrance would fade away by mid-year, it held the \#2 spot in the entry luxury car category for all of 2018 in Canada and the U.S.

## Genesis G70

A new contender in the entry luxury car category, Genesis G70 jumped 445\% in 2018 and ranks 5th in one of the most popular luxury car segments.

## SUBCOMPACT CARS



2018 Segment Rank 15 Share 2.5\% Change -5\%

| Honda Fit |  | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ | 2017 | $14.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |  |  |

2 Hyundai Accent

3 | Toyota Yaris |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \mathbf{1 2 . 1 \%}$ | 2017 | $13.0 \%$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{- 7 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9} \mathbf{1 1 . 1 \%}$ | $20179.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |
| Nissan Versa |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \mathbf{1 0 . 0 \%}$ | 2017 |  |

Total Segment Size: 11 Models

## MIDSIZE SEDANS



2018 Segment Rank 6 Share 4.5\% Change - $11 \%$

| Honda Accord |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 23.0\% | 2017 24.2\% | -5\% |
| 2 Toyota Camry |  |  |
| 2018 19.7\% | 2017 21.4\% | -8\% |
| 3 Ford Fusion |  |  |
| 2018 10.4\% | 2017 10.4\% | 0\% |
| 4 Nissan Altima |  |  |
| 2018 8.3\% | 2017 5.5\% | 52\% |
| 5 Hyundai Sonata |  |  |
| 2018 8.0\% | 2017 7.5\% | 6\% |

Total Segment Size: 11 Models

COMPACT CARS


2018 Segment Rank 3 Share 8.7\% Change -1\%

|  | Honda Civic |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| 2018 | $19.9 \%$ |
| 2017 | $19.3 \%$ |

2 Mazda Mazda3
2018 14.1\% 2017 12.2\% 15\%

3 Toyota Corolla
2018 10.4\% $20178.1 \%$ 28\%

4 Hyundai Elantra
2018 9.0\% 2017 8.1\% 11\%

5 Volkswagen Golf
2018 10.0\% $20178.3 \% \quad$-17\%

Total Segment Size: 15 Models

## ALTERNATIVE FUEL CARS



2018 Segment Rank 12 Share 2.7\% Change 11\%

| Tesla Model 3 |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 19.9\% | 201716.2\% | 23\% |
| 2 Chevrolet Bolt |  |  |
| 2018 11.6\% | 201716.6\% | -30\% |
| 3 Nissan Leaf |  |  |
| 2018 11.0\% | 20177.3\% | 51\% |
| 4 Chevrolet Volt |  |  |
| 2018 9.9\% | 201712.0\% | -18\% |

5 Hyundai loniq Hybrid
2018 9.8\% 201710.2\% -4\%

Total Segment Size: 19 Models

ENTRY LUXURY COMPACTS


2018 Segment Rank 33 Share 0.8\% Change -5\%

| Audi A3 |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 30.3\% | 2017 31.4\% | -3\% |
| 2 BMW 2 Series |  |  |
| 2018 23.1\% | 2017 27.6\% | -16\% |
| 3 Mercedes-Benz CLA-Class |  |  |
| 2018 19.2\% | 2017 18.8\% | 2\% |
| 4 Acura ILX |  |  |
| 2018 18.5\% | 2017 17.8\% | 4\% |
| 5 Mercedes-Benz A-Class |  |  |
| 2018 6.5\% | 2017 0.6\% | 980\% |

Total Segment Size: 6 Models

MIDSIZE LUXURY SEDANS
2018 Segment Rank 23 Share 1.6\% Change -19\%

| BMW 5 Series |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 22.0\% | 2017 19.8\% | 11\% |
| 2 Mercedes-Benz E-Class |  |  |
| 2018 18.1\% | 2017 18.4\% | -2\% |
| 3 Genesis G80 |  |  |
| 2018 11.7\% | 2017 9.5\% | 24\% |
| 4 Audi A6 |  |  |
| 2018 11.1\% | 2017 10.1\% | 11\% |
| 5 Cadillac CTS |  |  |
| 2018 8.2\% | 2017 9.1\% | -10\% |

[^9]ENTRY LUXURY CARS


2018 Segment Rank 7 Share 4.4\% Change -7\%

| 1 BMW 3 Series |  | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8} \mathbf{1 8 . 4 \%}$ | 2017 | $17.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |  |  |

2 Kia Stinger

3 Mercedes-Benz C-Class | 2018 | $10.5 \%$ | 2017 | $10.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \%$ |  |  |  |

4 Audi A4

5 Genesis G70

Total Segment Size: 17 Models

## FULL-SIZE LUXURY SEDANS



2018 Segment Rank 26 Share 1.3\% Change-20\%

| Tesla Model S |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change } \\ \hline-3 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 18.7\% | 2017 19.2\% |  |
| 2 Mercedes-Benz S-Class |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 14.4\% | 2017 11.7\% | 24\% |

3 Audi A7
$2018 \quad 10.2 \% \quad 20178.8 \% \quad 16 \%$

BMW 7 Series

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | $9.3 \%$ | 2017 | $11.9 \%$ |

5 Porsche Panamera
2018 8.1\% $20178.2 \% \quad$-1\%

Total Segment Size: 12 Models


## TOP 5 SUVS AND CUVS

With continued growth in most of the SUV and crossover categories, there is even more new product entering the market and stirring up competition. But the successes weren't all tied to launches-some of the most familiar SUVs surpassed their ranks of prior years.

## Hyundai Kona

In its first full year on the market, Kona jumped to the \#1 ranking vehicle in one of the fastest-growing segments, grabbing $18 \%$ share of shopper interest in the category.

## Toyota RAV4

A familiar name in the compact SUV/CUV segment, RAV4 increased its share of shopper interest by $44 \%$ while the segment only grew 2\%. More importantly, its Top 5 competitors all saw declines.

## Hyundai Santa Fe

Leading another segment, Hyundai has a strong presence with Santa Fe ranking \#1 among 22 midsize SUVs/CUVs and capturing 38\% growth in 2018.

## Acura RDX

Following a highly-anticipated refresh, RDX jumped back into the \#1 ranking compact luxury SUV/CUV and grew its share of the segment by $43 \%$ in 2018.

## Volvo XC40

In its first generation, XC40 increased share by $500 \%$ and ranks 3 rd in one of the highest-growth segments, compact luxury SUVs/CUVs.

## Lincoln Navigator

In one of the smaller segments that saw declines in shopper interest in Canada, Navigator was able to increase its share by $72 \%$ and move to the \#3 rank in 2018.

| SUBCOMPACT SUVS/CUVS |  |  |  | COMPACT SUVS/CUVS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 Segment | 5 Share | Change 13\% | 2018 Segmen | 1 Share | Change 2 |
|  | Hyundai Kona |  | \% Change | Honda CR-V |  | \% Change |
|  | 2018 18.2\% | 2017 0.7\% | 2646\% | 2018 13.5\% | 2017 14.8\% | -9\% |
| 2 Subaru Crosstrek |  |  |  | 2 Toyota RAV4 |  |  |
|  | 2018 13.0\% | 2017 13.0\% | 0\% | 2018 11.4\% | 2017 7.9\% | 44\% |
| $\}$ Honda HR-V |  |  |  | $\}$ Mazda CX-5 |  |  |
|  | 2018 11.4\% | 2017 12.4\% | -8\% | 2018 9.2\% | 2017 11.8\% | -22\% |
| 4 Mazda CX-3 |  |  |  | 4 Ford Escape |  |  |
|  | 2018 10.6\% | 2017 18.1\% | -41\% | 2018 8.2\% | 2017 8.7\% | -5\% |
| 5 Kia Niro Hybrid |  |  |  | 5 Jeep Wrangler |  |  |
|  | 2018 6.5\% | 2017 8.5\% | -24\% | 2018 7.0\% | 2017 7.4\% | -6\% |
| Total Segment Size: 16 Models |  |  |  | Total Segment Size: 22 Models |  |  |
| MIDSIZE SUVS/CUVS |  |  |  | LARGE SUVS |  |  |
| 2018 Segment Rank 2 |  |  | Change 8\% | 2018 Segment Rank 31 Share |  | Change -4 |
| Hyundai Santa Fe |  |  | \% Change | 1 Ford Expedition |  | \% Change |
|  | 2018 8.2\% | 2017 6.0\% | 38\% | 2018 24.0\% | 2017 24.5\% | -2\% |
| 2 Toyota Highlander |  |  |  | 2 Chevrolet Tahoe |  |  |
|  | 2018 7.6\% | 2017 8.3\% | -7\% | 2018 22.5\% | 2017 19.6\% | 15\% |
| 3 Ford Edge |  |  |  | $\}$ GMC Yukon |  |  |
|  | 2018 7.6\% | 2017 6.8\% | 12\% | 2018 20.5\% | 2017 19.2\% | 7\% |
| 4 Jeep Grand Cherokee |  |  |  | 4 Chevrolet Suburban |  |  |
|  | 2018 6.9\% | 2017 8.3\% | -18\% | 2018 12.6\% | 2017 11.2\% | 13\% |
| 5 Volkswagen Atlas |  |  |  | 5 Nissan Armada |  |  |
|  | 2018 6.7\% | 2017 8.0\% | -16\% | 2018 10.8\% | 2017 12.8\% | -15\% |
| Total Segment Size: 22 Models |  |  |  | Total Segment Size: 6 Models |  |  |

COMPACT LUXURY SUVS/CUVS


2018 Segment Rank 11 Share 2.7\% Change 42\%

| Acura RDX |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 22.2\% | 2017 15.6\% | 43\% |
| 2 BMW X1 |  |  |
| 2018 16.9\% | 2017 20.7\% | -19\% |
| 3 Volvo XC40 |  |  |
| 2018 13.8\% | 2017 2.3\% | 501\% |
| 4 Lexus NX |  |  |
| 2018 9.4\% | 2017 15.3\% | -39\% |
| 5 Audi Q3 |  |  |
| 2018 8.4\% | 2017 10.5\% | -20\% |

Total Segment Size: 12 Models

FULL-SIZE LUXURY SUVS/CUVS 2018 Segment Rank 9 Share 2.9\% Change 5\%

| 1 Acura MDX |  | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2018 \quad 13.9 \%$ | $201714.5 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| 2 BMW X5 |  |  |
| $2018 \quad 13.1 \%$ | $201711.6 \%$ | $13 \%$ |


| 3 Audi Q7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 12.2\% | 2017 13.6\% | -10\% |
| 4 Porsche Cayenne |  |  |
| 2018 11.4\% | 2017 8.5\% | 33\% |
| 5 Volvo XC90 |  |  |
| 2018 11.4\% | 2017 12.6\% | -10\% |

[^10]
## MIDSIZE LUXURY SUVS/CUVS



2018 Segment Rank 8 Share 3.9\% Change 6\%

| Audi Q5 |  | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2018 \quad 13.3 \%$ | $201715.7 \%$ | $-16 \%$ |

$2 \frac{\text { Lexus RX }}{2018 \quad 11.4 \%} \quad 201710.9 \% \quad 5 \%$

3 BMW X3
$2018 \quad 201710.9 \% \quad-1 \%$

4 Volvo XC60
2018 9.2\% 2017 9.5\% $\quad-4 \%$

5 Porsche Macan
2018 8.4\% $20178.1 \% \quad 4 \%$
Total Segment Size: 17 Models

## LARGE LUXURY SUVS



2018 Segment Rank 27 Share 1.2\% Change -11\%

| Mercedes-Benz G-Class | \% Change |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2018 \quad 14.7 \%$ | 2017 | $13.2 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Tesla Model X |  |  |
| $202 \%$ | 2017 | $20.1 \%$ | $-29 \%$ |

3 Lincoln Navigator
2018 13.0\% 2017 7.5\% 72\%

| 4 Cadillac Escalade |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2018 \quad 12.0 \%$ | 2017 | $10.1 \%$ | $19 \%$ |

5 Land Rover Range Rover
2018
11.8\%

2017 13.0\%
-9\%

BY THE NUMBERS

## TOP 5 TRUCKS AND VANS

Trucks continue to thrive across North America, and competition has been heating up with major refreshes from GM and RAM in 2018. As Ford Ranger makes its way to consumers in 2019, we'll continue to watch its impact on all truck segments. And while passenger vans have been declining, large vans are experiencing significant growth.

## Ford Ranger

Ford Ranger ranks \#1 in the midsize truck segment with $38 \%$ growth in 2018, but unlike the U.S., shopper interest and growth remain strong for \#2 competitor, Toyota Tacoma.

RAM 1500
Ranking 2nd in the segment again in 2018, RAM 1500 saw $30 \%$ growth and holds $22 \%$ share of the highly competitive full-size pickup truck category.

## Chevrolet Silverado

2018 was a big year for Silverado with a strong model-year refresh that helped increase share by 19\% and hold its \#3 rank in the segment.

## Ford TransitConnect

Despite the small van segment declining 17\% in 2018, TransitConnect grew its share 28\% and moved ahead of Mercedes-Benz Metris to rank \#1 in the category.

## Dodge Grand Caravan

While the minivan segment was down 7\%, Grand Caravan saw a $37 \%$ increase that bumped Honda Odyssey down. Sister brand Chrysler Pacifica also grew 17\%.

## RAM ProMaster

RAM ProMaster outpaced the segment with $22 \%$ growth in share of shopper interest and holds the \#3 rank. Competitor, Mercedes-Benz Sprinter also outpaced the segment with $14 \%$ growth.

## MIDSIZE PICKUP TRUCKS

## 2018 Segment Rank 10 Share 2.8\% Change 2\%

| Ford Ranger |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 24.6\% | 2017 17.8\% | 38\% |
| 2 Toyota Tacoma |  |  |
| 2018 21.9\% | 2017 20.0\% | 10\% |
| $\}$ Chevrolet Colorado |  |  |
| 2018 17.9\% | 2017 19.7\% | -9\% |
| 4 Honda Ridgeline |  |  |
| 2018 12.5\% | 2017 16.6\% | -25\% |
| 5 GMC Canyon |  |  |
| 2018 10.8\% | 2017 13.1\% | -17\% |

Total Segment Size: 7 Models


| Ford F-250 Super Duty | \% Change |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2 \%}$ | 2017 | $19.5 \%$ |

2 Chevrolet Silverado 2500HD
2018 15.6\% 2017 15.8\% -2\%

3 RAM 2500
2018 15.4\%
2017 12.8\%
20\%

4 GMC Sierra 2500HD
2018 13.4\% 2017 14.7\% -9\%

5 Ford F-350 Super Duty
2018 13.4\%
2017 13.1\%
2\%

Total Segment Size: 10 Models

FULL-SIZE PICKUP TRUCKS

## 2018 Segment Rank 4 Share 7.1\% Change 16\%

| Ford F-150 |  | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2018 | $34.2 \%$ | 2017 | $38.1 \%$ |
| $-10 \%$ |  |  |  |


$2 \frac{\text { RAM } 1500}{}$| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 3} \%$ | 2017 | 17.2\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\}$ Chevrolet Silverado 1500
2018 16.5\% 2017 13.9\% 19\%

4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | GMC Sierra $1500.4 \%$ | 2017 | $12.0 \%$ |
| $2 \%$ |  |  |  |

5 Toyota Tundra
2018 6.3\% 2017 6.3\%

Total Segment Size: 7 Models


2018 Segment Rank 35 Share 0.2\% Change -17\%

| Ford Transit Connect |  | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 3} \%$ | 2017 | $27.0 \%$ |

2 Mercedes-Benz Metris $\quad 2017$ 41.4\% $\quad-\mathbf{2 4 \%}$
Nissan NV200
2018 14.4\% 2017 13.3\% 8\%

4 RAM ProMaster City | 2018 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 \%}$ | 2017 | $11.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $11 \%$ |  |  |

5 Chevrolet City Express
2018 7.7\% $20177.3 \% \quad 6 \%$

Total Segment Size: 5 Models

LARGE VANS

2018 Segment Rank 19 Share 2.1\% Change -7\%

| Dodge Grand Caravan | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2018 \quad 32.4 \%$ | 2017 | $23.6 \%$ |

2 Honda Odyssey

3 Toyota Sienna
2018 18.9\% 2017 21.9\% $-14 \%$

4 Chrysler Pacifica
2018 18.8\% 2017 16.1\% 17\%

5 Kia Sedona
2018 9.0\% $20178.5 \% \quad$ 7\%

Total Segment Size: 5 Models

2018 Segment Rank 34 Share 0.4\% Change 12\%

| Ford Transit |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 31.9\% | 2017 35.6\% | -11\% |
| 2 Mercedes-Benz Sprinter |  |  |
| 2018 19.7\% | 2017 17.2\% | 14\% |
| 3 RAM ProMaster |  |  |
| 2018 16.5\% | 2017 13.6\% | 22\% |

4 Chevrolet Express
2018 11.0\% 2017 12.2\% $\quad-10 \%$

| 5 GMC Savana |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2018 \quad 10.6 \%$ | 2017 |  |  |

[^11]
## TOP SEGMENTS FUELING INTEREST

## Highest Affinity Scores ${ }^{1}$

We've been watching SUVs/CUVs take over the market for a couple years now, but in 2018 they started to dominate consumer preferences in Canada. The \#1 segment interest changed drastically, with nearly $70 \%$ of provinces and territories (9 of 13) changing from last year. Five of those moved from another segment into an SUV/ CUV. In fact, the SUV/CUV segment ranked \#1 in 54\% of provinces and territories. In this analysis, we use affinity scores instead of volume to highlight where geographical interest climbs ahead of the national average. The higher the score, the greater the interest is above its national rank.
The Top 3 highest affinity scores among all segments in any province or territory were:

## Small, But Not Insignificant

From compact performance to subcompact SUVs/CUVs, some of the strongest growth across the country was seen in the subcompact or compact sizes of many body styles.

Smaller cars grew in popularity in the central part of Canada. Manitoba, Saskatchewan, and Alberta ranked as the top 3 provinces for affinity score growth among both subcompact cars and compact cars.
The eastern side of the country has an increasing affinity towards subcompact SUVs and CUVs, with the highest growth in Quebec, New Brunswick, Ontario, and Nova Scotia.

| PROVINCE | SEGMENT | SCORE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA | ALTERNATIVE FUEL CAR | $\mathbf{7 3 . 8}$ |
| YUKON TERRITORY | $3 / 4-\&$--TON PICKUP | $\mathbf{7 3 . 1}$ |
| YUKON TERRITORY | LARGE VAN | $\mathbf{7 2 . 5}$ |



[^12]Using a mathematical score that takes into account the mean and standard deviation of traffic to Jumpstart sites, these maps display shopper interest in vehicle segments and brands across all provinces and territories.

## LUXURY SEGMENTS



## Highest Affinity Scores ${ }^{1}$

Although luxury SUV/CUV segments are among the most popular, cars outperformed the utilities in $62 \%$ of the Canadian provinces and territories. In fact, the fastestgrowing segments were premium luxury performance cars ( $+35 \%$ from last year) in Prince Edward Island, luxury hatchbacks/wagons (+30\%) in New Brunswick, and luxury coupes (+27\%) in Newfoundland and Labrador. When looking at affinity scores (rather than growth), SUVs and CUVs dominate the top segments across all regions of Canada.

The Top 3 highest affinity scores among all luxury segments in any province or territory were:

| PROVINCE | SEGMENT | SCORE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| NUNAVUT | LARGE LUXURY SUV | $\mathbf{7 9 . 0}$ |
| NEWFOUNDLAND | COMPACT LUXURY | $\mathbf{7 7 . 0}$ |
| AND LABRADOR | SUV/CUV <br> LUXURY PERFORMANCE | $\mathbf{7 6 . 1}$ |
| NUNAVUT | SUV/CUV |  |

## Cars Lead Growth, SUVs Rule In Volume

Entry luxury car affinity grew by $11 \%$ in Alberta, which was more than four times higher than growth seen in any other Province. Luxury OEMs have an opportunity to reach firsttime luxury buyers in this region.

With the exception of Quebec, the full-size luxury sedan affinity score grew year-over-year in all provinces.

Aligning with increased popularity of SUVs and CUVs, the midsize luxury SUV/CUV affinity score grew by at least 15\% in the 3 largest Canadian provinces: Quebec, Ontario, and British Columbia.

Interest in full-size luxury SUV/CUV is growing the fastest in central parts of Canada, especially Saskatchewan, Manitoba, and Alberta.

## BRANDS ACROSS THE EXPANSE BRAND PREFERENCES, BY PROVINCE/TERRITORY

## NON-LUXURY BRANDS



Car shoppers in Canada still skew heavier towards nonluxury brands, with the top-ranking brand being massmarket in $62 \%$ of the provinces and territories. That's not to say that luxury isn't moving up in popularity. In fact, luxury brands ranked \#1 in the five most-populated provinces
across Canada: Ontario, Quebec, British Columbia, Alberta, and Manitoba. However, as competition continues to grow among the premium nameplates, we found that the top-ranking luxury brand changed in more than half (54\%) of the country.

## LUXURY BRANDS



Consumer preferences on the non-luxury side are proving to be a different story. In 2018 the \#1 brand remained unchanged from the prior year in $85 \%$ of provinces and territories, showing stronger affinity and loyalty to various brands. Although competitive sets are often well-defined
on a holistic level, understanding regional affinities is crucial to adapting product, media, and marketing plans to ensure automotive companies are reaching the right audiences of prospective buyers.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { CASE STUDIES, } \\
\text { PERSPECTIVES, AND } \\
\text { PREDICTIONS }
\end{array}
$$

## TODAY'S AUTO BUYER AND THE DIGITAL RETAILING EXPERIENCE

Despite being armed with online information and resources, there are still misperceptions about car purchases today that create an air of distrust. In an effort to gain insight into the retail experience, Jumpstart commissioned global research firm, Ipsos, to conduct a comprehensive study into both the consumer and dealer points of view. The research compares responses from consumers and dealers to understand how closely their perceptions align and where they disconnect.

And while dealers are adapting to new digital ways to interact with their customers (e.g., texting, chat), their processes may still need to change, given the level of frustration that exists. Consumers want a stress-free sales and purchase process that reassures them they got the right car at the right price. Dealers want to sell more vehicles and remain profitable. The ultimate goal for both is to improve the experience-making the purchase more satisfying all around.

## ONLINE INFLUENCE



Are researching their vehicles online


Say online research influenced the purchase price and another 42\% say it influenced the dealership they purchased from


Of shoppers are in-market for 3 months or less

## DEALER VISIT



Are visiting fewer dealerships
2.3 average number of dealerships visited


Visited a dealer website prior to their in-store visit


Spend $\mathbf{3 +}$ hours at the
dealership
3.2 hours (mean)

## WAYS TO INCREASE DEALERSHIP SATISFACTION

## Simple, Fast Purchase Experience

## Transparent Pricing

Pressure-Free Shopping Experience


Of consumers prefer to deal with a salesperson who is both

- Non-commissioned
- Near their own age

[^13]
## PRICING \& NEGOTIATION

On average consumers are willing to spend an extra 30 minutes to get an additional \$100 off the price, and an extra hour and a half to get an additional \$500 off.

of consumers have a price in mind before visiting a dealership

believe they can negotiate a
few hundred dollars off

Men are willing to negotiate twice as long to save $\$ 100$.

81\%
expect to negotiate more off the price

37\%
believe they can negotiate a few thousand dollars off

## BOTTOM LINE

The organic shift in the way consumers approach selecting and buying a car still represents a rich opportunity for dealers. By moving interactions to digital, dealers can reduce time and friction at the dealership by sorting out a lot of the time-consuming aspects of the purchase in advance. And, with an eye on improving these three key areas, dealers could change negative perceptions of the car-buying process for future generations:

Move towards transparent pricing to increase trust and overall satisfaction

Use collaborative selling to assess needs and expectations, and to reduce pressure

Reduce transaction time with digital retail tools and move a lot of the process online

# CARS...REJECTED? 

MANUFACTURERS ARE FORCED TO MAKE TOUGH CUTS

SUVs and crossovers have won the vote of consumers, with a noticeable shift in preferences emerging over the last six years. Cars only accounted for 31\% of U.S. light vehicle sales in 2018, dropping 13\% from the prior year. And it's expected to get worse. Industry forecasting firm, LMC Automotive, is projecting that cars will only make up $27 \%$ of industry sales by 2022. Similarly, Jumpstart has seen declines in interest across all car body styles over the past three years.

Although manufacturers (especially American brands) have slowly been making moves to meet market demands, drastic changes were announced across many lineups last year. Many are so confident that the trends will hold that they've abolished their car lines or have presented plans to do so over the coming years.

It started in April 2018 when Ford first announced that it would be eliminating its passenger car lineup (with the exception of Mustang and the Focus Active, essentially a crossover car). Even with phase-out plans extending into 2020, the industry was stunned.

Ford isn't alone. In fact, Detroit automakers started making subtle moves with the phase-out of Chrysler 200 and Dodge Dart back in 2016. GM has also been affected by slowing car sales, and by the end of 2018 they announced big changes across three of its nameplates-cutting six cars in total. Though domestic manufacturers have made the most significant cuts, foreign brands like Toyota folded the Scion brand entirely; and Volkswagen announced that 2019 would be the final year of its iconic Beetle after a seventy-year run in America.

> Cars only accounted for $31 \%$ of U.S. light vehicle sales in 2018, dropping $13 \%$ from the prior year.

## SO WHO IS SURVIVING AND THRIVING IN CARS?

Despite the overall trends, there are prominent pockets of success and growth in cars. In the non-luxury space, Honda and Toyota continue to thrive in almost every car segment they're in. Even with dips in sales along the way, Toyota very enthusiastically announced at the 2018 L.A. Auto Show that they are not walking away
from cars and actually plan to double down on them. And while only two brands dominate in non-luxury cars, the luxury car categories are diversifying, with more competitors saddling up next to the mainstays (i.e., the German three) and competing for shoppers.

## CAR SUCCESSES IN 2018

## TOYOTA COROLLA

Ranks \#3 in compact cars with nearly 10\% of shopper interest

Saw outstanding growth of $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ compared to 2017


## NISSAN ALTIMA

Ranks \#4 in the midsize sedan segment with nearly 9\% share

Increased 68\% in 2018, while the overall
 segment dropped 13\%

## MERCEDES-BENZ A-CLASS

Ranks \#5 in a small segment of six and captures 8\% share

Grew nearly 1900\% in 2018 with

anticipation of the new 2019 model

## KIA STINGER

Held the \#2 spot in the segment for all of 2018 with $13 \%$ share

Grew 27\% and is competing closely with BMW 3 Series


## ARE CARS REALLY DEAD?

Even with the ever-growing shift to larger body styles that meet the functionality and versatility consumers desire for their day-to-day lives, we don't believe cars are entirely dead. While they will make up a much smaller part of the
market moving forward, a range of options from minimalist to eco-conscious to performance will still be available.
And let's not forget a critical component-cars are simply more fun to drive!

## THE FUTURE OF FLEXIBILITY

HOW JUMPSTART HAS PREPARED FOR THE FLEX/LEAN TRANSITION

The transition from desktop usage to mobile devices has been happening for quite some time. At Jumpstart, audience crossover started in 2015 and has grown exponentially ever since-with twothirds of our user base on mobile today.' The days of one, or even a few screen sizes are over. With the wide array of mobile device sizes available today, the question becomes...how do you deliver creative that resonates with consumers on ALL platforms?

Today, even though most websites have moved toward fully responsive front-end designs, ads served to these optimized templates are still largely static. And when small, fixed-sized ads serve into fluid content, the consumer experience becomes fragmented, triggering banner blindness.

Ads have also gotten heavier. Built on foundational technologies intended for desktop machines with broadband connections, they don't translate well to mobile phones that are often on more restricted cellular connections. Given both of these issues, it's not hard to understand why overall digital performance has declined as a result.

Granted, the industry has made some strides at bridging this gap. Native, for example, leverages deeper content integration, faster speeds, and responsive creative designs to capitalize on the flexibility of publisher content-and tends to drive higher performance as a result. And while native has been a staple of Jumpstart's product development strategy, we've had the realization that the industry needs a more comprehensive solution to this problem.

## STATIC VS. FLEX/LEAN ADS



STATIC AD
300X250


ENGAGEMENT PERSPECTIVE


Increase In
Click-through Rates


Increase In
Viewability

[^14]Enter the Interactive Advertising Bureau's (IAB) mid-2017 Standard Ad Unit Portfolio announcement. Focused on trying to remedy these issues, the IAB brought two very important solutions to the table. First, they posited that faster ads perform better and promote an enhanced user experience. And so with the integration of new specifications for what they call Light, Encrypted, AdChoice-supported, Non-invasive ads (LEAN), the IAB set guidelines to limit the number of files requested during the initial ad load and defer non-essential content. A second (and more noteworthy) development came with the introduction of aspect ratio-based flexible ad sizesad units that are no longer rooted in static, pixel-based dimensions, but instead "flex" along with the content to maximize their impact.

As soon the new guidelines were introduced, Jumpstart immediately got to work studying the impact of flex/LEAN ads on our diverse publisher portfolio. Our testing goals were to:


Corroborate the IAB's assertions regarding performance


Measure the impact of rolling out the flexible and LEAN principles on both desktop and mobile platforms


Develop implementation that would allow backwards compatibility with legacy static ads

## The expectation was that we would see increases

 in performance due to:

More prominent calls-to-action


Additional space for information and edge-to-edge integration


Higher viewability (ads that would be not only faster, but larger in size)

We first introduced static versions of custom products which were intended to be flexible once the underpinning technology could support it. All were built to be more contextually integrated into the user experience, delivering creative to enhance the shopping experience through simplicity and utility. The final goal, however, was to make these products ratio-based and fluid so they could truly become a seamless extension of the content being consumed by users.

Through a series of A/B tests we delivered flex and non-flex creative iterations onto our publishers' pages, and the results were interesting. First, on desktop, we found that the benefits were nominal. This wasn't entirely shocking, as desktop templates often have a defined rail for vertical ads; and horizontal units don't gain much of a size benefit under the $I A B^{\prime}$ s new principles. Likewise, the speed benefits seemed to be negligible, as desktop users tend to have faster connections. On mobile, however, the performance was staggering. From an engagement perspective, we saw a $57 \%$ increase in clickthrough rates when compared to that of the static ad units. ${ }^{2}$ Furthermore, these larger, faster-loading ads led to a 44\% increase in viewability.

Now that our intensive testing phase is complete, Jumpstart will be supporting ratio fluid ad units on mobile for 2019. These units will be faster, fully responsive, and will leverage the best practices we've achieved in testing. Our in-house-developed creative executions are flexible and LEAN compliant, by default, and we will continue to accept legacy IAB creative sizes in parallel. And while we plan to expand support to desktop as needed in the future, the immense performance benefits and scale on mobile will keep our focus on that platform to better meet the needs of marketers today.

While building fluid ad units-much like responsive websites-is more challenging than dealing with their flat counterparts, the proof is in the data. Engagement improves, ad load times are reduced, and the user experience is enhanced. And with the everfragmented mobile device market continuing to grow, we think that fluid ad units are the best way to drive performance in a way that scales-and you can do it now with Jumpstart.

# A NEW CAR BUYING EXPERIENCE 

the future of car buying is here. but is it for everyone?


#### Abstract

As consumers today, we gravitate to quality, convenience, flexibility, and options. We are subscribers of meal and grocery delivery services, streaming services on all of our devices, and expect that we can order nearly anything conceivable and have it delivered the next day.


There was no doubt that these expectations would reach the car industry eventually, especially given that 44\% of car shoppers find the shopping process to be a painful task'. As we predicted last year, vehicle subscription services took off and are changing the way consumers can acquire their next car. What used to be a decision to buy or lease, is now a decision to buy, lease, or subscribe.

But have the manufacturers and third-party services that offer subscriptions gotten it right? And are they a good fit for everyone?

## While subscription services differ by program or platform, the top factors of consideration for consumers are ${ }^{2}$ :



Term Length
How long do I want to commit
financially?


Usage
What are my day-to-day
transportation needs?


## Budget

How much can I spend on monthly car-related expenses?


## Car Preference

Do I want to drive the same vehicle or different vehicles weekly/monthly?

Term Length: Typically, when financing or leasing a vehicle, consumers are generally looking at 36-72 months. With a subscription service (depending on which provider you select) you only pay for the month(s) you have the car. For highly populated markets in which many of these subscription services are currently available, consumers may not have the need for a vehicle every month. That's where the next factor of usage comes into play.

Usage: Subscription services are great for consumers that have flexibility in their lifestyle. Depending on the program consumers can opt to pay on a month-to-month basis. This is key for many consumers whose need for a vehicle may change on a regular basis. The option to subscribe monthly can certainly be less money than renting a vehicle for an entire month. Speaking of money, let's look at what financial impact these subscription services bring.

Budget/Car Preference: How much are you looking or willing to spend on a vehicle monthly? \$160 or \$3,000? Luckily, there is a subscription service that can address budgets at all points of this spectrum. Provider, Fair, can get you into a car for as low as $\$ 160 /$ month (depending on your market and vehicle selection). Whereas Porsche can get you into their Accelerate level within the Porsche Passport subscription for $\$ 3,000 /$ month.

Each subscription service differs but consumers have become accustomed to getting more benefits for higher tiers of spending. For example, the Porsche Passport program allows a consumer to keep their vehicle for as long or as little as they want before requesting a new model. Ultimately, as it is with many subscription programs, you get what you pay for.

> No matter what the usage case is, let's look at why some consumers are ditching the dealership all together.

[^15]Digital buying solutions like Carvana and Vroom and many others take the complexity out of car buying. Consumers can browse inventory, apply for financing, get their trade-in value, complete paperwork, and take delivery with just a few simple clicks. But if it's that easy, why isn't everyone buying their car online? According to a Digital Dealer article, significant obstacles still exist in the eyes of car shoppers who want to buy online, including ${ }^{3}$ :

## Accurate, final price quotes that include all cost variables such as credit scores, local taxes and fees

## Precise trade-in valuations

Consumers' expectations of credit and financing abilities

## Compliance

## State laws that require documents to have a wet signature

While many, if not all these obstacles, have been addressed by these digital solution providers, consumers are still leery. Seventy-one percent of car buyers still want to take a test-drive while $61 \%$ would still want to negotiate in person ${ }^{4}$.

As with many new product offerings, there will be some trials and tribulations. This was evident when we saw Cadillac pause their program, Book by Cadillac, in December 2018 citing cost and technology issues. In a 2018 Forbes article, contributor Jack R. Nerad cited his conclusion as to why vehicle subscription services are doomed to fail. His conclusion, cost, stating "they are not cheap" ${ }^{5}$. For many consumers, cost is a huge factor in the vehicle acquisition process and will continue to play a big factor for the foreseeable future.

Undoubtedly digital buying solutions and subscription services are going to change the future car-buying experience, but the tipping point will only occur when the programs achieve the optimal trifecta of cost, value, and usage. Today we've found that $31 \%$ of consumers show strong interest in subscription services and another 38\% show some interest ${ }^{6}$. As the current programs become more refined, we predict that more consumers will gravitate to the conveniences of these services, however, as long as people still crave the entire or partial dealership experience, brick-and-mortar dealerships are here to stay.

> Today we've found that 31\% of consumers show strong interest in subscription services and another 38\% show söme interest.

## COMING SOON!

## WHEELS TO WATCH IN 2019

Every year manufacturers announce new vehicles that will grace North American showrooms. Some are eagerly anticipated; others fill a gap and are received with a reaction of "finally..."; and some give us insight on the demands of the future

## FORD RANGER

Why: The highly anticipated launch of the Ford Ranger has sparked interest since its reveal at the 2017 North American International Auto Show in Detroit. This midsized truck is perfectly positioned to go directly after the segment leader, Toyota Tacoma. We're very interested to leader, Toyota Tacoma. We're very interested to
see how Ranger and others in the more robust midsize truck segment will affect the full-size truck market. When: January
generation of buyers. No matter which category these fall into, new vehicle launches are exciting and the industry eagerly watches to see how consumers respond to them. Here are some launches that we have our eyes on in the year ahead:

Why: The highly anticipated launch of the Ford


BMW 3 SERIES
Why: Whenever a staple of the luxury market gets a redesign or makeover you know you have to keep your eye on it. With a luxury consumer shift towards SUVs/CUVs, we're eager to see if 3 Series can revitalize interest in the can revitalize interest in the
luxury car marketplace and dominate headlines once again.

When: March


[^16]2. J.D. Power, U.S. Automotive Marketing Radar Report, January 2019

## TOYOTA RAV4, FORD ESCAPE, AND NISSAN ROGUE

Why: In 2018, RAV4, Escape, and Rogue dominated $33 \%$ of compact SUV sales, and are expected to produce more than 1 million units in 2019, combined ${ }^{1}$. That type of sales power is bound to make waves. However, we're more curious about how they'll affect the growing subcompact SUV segment, which has been on the rise for the past few years but looking to
 slow in 2019.

When: Q1, Q3, and Q4, respectively

## TOYOTA SUPRA

Why: Toyota estimates it will produce less than 3,000 units of the Supra² and, not surprisingly, interest among enthusiasts and fans is deafening. The reveal at the 2019 North American International Auto Show in Detroit, followed by a Super Bowl splash, has already earned a site-wide interest peak in the first months of 2019. We'll be watching to see if Supra will have the same halo effect for Toyota that Kia Stinger did for its parent company
 in 2018.

When: April

## (5) <br> RIVIAN R1T

Why: The first all-electric pickup truck, The RIT has a range of over 400 miles $/ 640 \mathrm{~km}$ and could really shake up the truck market. Probably not against the volume leaders at first, but it will shed light on the shifting interests of eco-conscious truck shoppers. Although this one won't be available in 2019, we're eager to see what the future holds for electric powered trucks.


When: Production starts in 2020
but can pre-order now

## LOOKING AHEAD

## JUMPSTART'S PREDICTIONS ON WHAT'S IN STORE FOR 2019

## Buying Conveniences Will Reach The Market In Mass

There's no denying the car-shopping process needs to evolve. Not only because it's a lengthy research and buying process, but also because consumers reach the purchase in a negative mindset. But shouldn't it be exciting? We certainly think so, and so do many others.

Digital Retailing comprises of a multi-step retail experience (online) that allows a consumer to complete many points of the purchase (e.g., determining the vehicle, financing and price, and even arriving at a trade-in value) before they step foot in the dealership. It promises to eliminate the headaches and expedite the buying process, making it a better experience for everyone involved. And though it seems like the latest buzz word, it's one of the biggest emerging tech investments for the auto industry. Solutions are coming to market rapidly, whether by OEMs or from tech companies with integrations into OEM and dealer websites.

Points of contention for consumers are the time it takes to arrive at a price and getting through the paperwork process. Any ability to complete these steps online and reduce the amount of time in-person at the dealership will help cut some of the stress out of the process. In the coming year we expect to see more buying conveniences rolling out to the market. More importantly, we think this will mark the beginning of a shift away from the negative perceptions aligned with buying a car.

## Trade And Tariff Talks Will Dampen Sales Optimism

Policy changes around trade, taxes, and tariffs almost always present a threat to the auto industry. Although earlier concerns were around Canada and Mexico, automakers and dealers are now more anxious about the impact of car imports and raw materials from Europe and Asia. The administration is suggesting up to a $25 \%$ tariff on imports-a number that is sounding alarms internationally.

While this would have broader implications across many markets, the U.S. is bracing itself for job loss and sales decreases. The Center for Automotive Research projected a worst-case scenario of 366,900 job losses in autorelated industries, and with expected price increases, a potential drop of 1.3 million units in sales¹.

Vehicle pricing has already been on the rise in recent years, but an article published by The Drive (citing estimates from the European Union) suggested that Americans could pay $\$ 11,700$ more for the average European car if this goes into effect. And Toyota says that even its made-in-America Camry would see a \$1,800 price hike ${ }^{2}$. Given these severe consequences, both manufacturers and dealers will be cautious on their expenditures and operations until the talks of a trade war die down.

## The Road To EVs Relies On Awareness And Options

While the industry has been progressing towards batterypowered vehicles for a number of years, the broader part of the country hasn't fully embraced the change. Whether range anxiety, price, or lack of interest are the drivers of what's been relatively slow adoption, we expect this to change in the next year or two.

The impending growth in adoption will put pressure on car and battery manufacturers who are still grappling with battery technology, size, and costs, to make EVs more costeffective over the entire ownership period. Additionally, government and energy agencies are working with manufacturers to build standardization around language, signage, and in developing the infrastructure of a strong national charging network.

Yes, these are all critical in increasing awareness and putting consumers at ease, but the biggest impact will come from the products on the market. According to Green Car Reports, OEMs are expected to introduce up to nine new electric SUVs in the next year and a half 3 , and the movement towards adoption hinges upon offering EVs in the styles that consumers want. The days of alternative fuel vehicles only existing in a compact or hatchback body style are behind us, and with more options we're going to start to see a greater uptick in EV ownership.

[^17]

## ALTERNATIVE FUEL CITIES

## Alternative fuel corridors have been in development under

 the oversight of the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), as well as the U.S. Department of Energy. The goal is to build the national infrastructure with charging stations along the nation's most-travelled highways, and promote and

But while these corridors are under development, there's even more advancement coming to the "Alternative Fuel Cities" of America. What do these cities look like? Do they have highways in the sky? Are they powered by space age technologies?

Other products today are giving us glimpses into what might be possible for autos in the near future. Wireless charging is becoming the standard when it comes to our mobile phones, smart watches, and bluetooth devices. This incredible innovation has allowed users to cut the cord and brings a new level of convenience to consumers-so why should it be limited to the world of small electronics?

What if this technology could be used to electrify our cars? Current research conducted at the University of Colorado at Boulder is making groundbreaking advancements in wireless charging that might make this possible. They envision a world where electric vehicles may be able to recharge while driving, pulling power directly from plates embedded into the ground, a system that is being coined "dynamic charging."
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    Auto Manufacturer: Automobile manufacturers and holding companies
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[^8]:    Source: Jumpstart Internal Analytics, January 2017 - December 2018
    Definitions: Hybrid is referring to conventional hybrid (gasoline fueled engine and electric motor); Electric is referring to fully-electric vehicles (battery exclusive); Gas is referring to internal combustion (gasoline-fueled engine)
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